

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/666,312	09/18/2003	Pawel Mosiewicz	29764/39373	7902	
4743 7	590 03/24/2005		EXAM	INER	
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			LU, JIPING		
6300 SEARS TOWER 233 S. WACKER DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			3749		
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/24/200	DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/666,312	MOSIEWICZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jiping Lu	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 L	December 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	own from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	* *				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.102(b) as being anticipated by Fayerman et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,394,795).

Fayerman et al show a duct (the section outside manifold 12 below 18), a burner 18 with gas manifold 12 within the duct, a wedge-shaped 1st and 2nd perforated baffles or sidewalls 22, 24 and a protective chamber 22 with a profile opening to receive the flame from the burner 18 which are arranged in the same manner as broad claims. For claim 16, see 1st and 2nd plenums 38, 40. The protective chamber 22 is located downstream from, separated and spaced away from the burner 18.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Creuz (U. S. Pat. 3,881,863).

Creuz shows a duct 10, a burner 21 with gas manifold within the duct 10, a wedge-shaped 1st and 2nd perforated baffles or sidewalls 16a and a protective chamber 31 with a profile opening to receive the flame from the burner which are arranged in the same manner as broad claims.

The protective chamber 31 is located downstream relative to, separate and spaced away from the burner or combustion chamber same as the broad claims. For claim 16, see 1st and 2nd plenums (at 15a, 16a).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/28/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive to overcome the rejection. The broad claims fail to structurally define over the prior art reference. Please point out from the claims exactly which limitation is missing from the references. The newly added limitation in the claims regarding location of the protective chamber "being downstream relative to, separated and spaced from the burner or combustion chamber" does not define over the art. With regard to Fayerman et all patent, the claims fail to structurally define over this patent. The protective chamber 22 is located downstream from, separated and spaced away from the burner 18. With regard to the patent to Creuz clearly shows this feature. The protective chamber 31 is located downstream relative to, separate and spaced away from the burner or combustion chamber same as the broad claims.

Conclusion

- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 3. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3749

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jiping Lu whose telephone number is 571 272 4878. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 571 272-4877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jiping Lu Primary Exa

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749